



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION N |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| 09/882,029                                | 06/18/2001     | Akira Tobiya         | 2257-0189P-SP           | 8800           |
| 2292 75                                   | 90 04/13/2004  |                      | EXAMINER                |                |
| BIRCH STEW                                | VART KOLASCH & | NGUYEN, JENNIFER T   |                         |                |
| PO BOX 747<br>FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 |                | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                |
|   | ,              |                      | 2674                    | a              |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004 |                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| * Advisory Action  | 09/882,029   | TOBIYA, AKIRA   |  |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jennifer T Nguyen  | 2674  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>  | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o         | f the final rejection.  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | sion and the corresponding amount of the<br>I statutory period for reply originally set in | e fee. The appropriate extension fee under<br>the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (a) $\boxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 4-7, and 10-11</u> .  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   | REGINA LIANG<br>PRIMARY EXAMINER   | Jennifer T Nguyen<br>Examiner   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Art Unit: 2674  |  |  |  |  |





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitation added "arbitrarily set" in independent claims 1 and 7 and the new limitation added "using said conversion signal obtained from said conversion section" in independent claim 1 raise new issues would change the scope of the invention originally claimed and previous prosecuted. Therefore, it would require further search and consideration.